

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ATTY.'S DOCKET: BOURGINE=1

| | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| In re Application of: |) Confirmation No.: 9125 |
| |) |
| Laurent Bourgine De Meder |) Art Unit: 2612 |
| |) |
| Appln. No.: 10/521,550 |) Examiner: Lam P. PHAM |
| |) |
| Filing Date: 07/10/2003 |) March 25, 2009 |
| 371(c) Date: 09/23/2005 |) |
| |) |
| For: SAFETY/SECURITY METHOD |) |
| AND DEVICE... |) |

REPLY TO INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Honorable Commissioner for Patents
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Randolph Building, Mail Stop: Amendment
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

Sir:

The Interview Summary mailed with the Notice of Allowance requires, in the last paragraph, that applicant supply a Statement of the Substance of the Interview.

Applicant confirms what is stated in the Interview Summary, namely that the examiner proposed changes to correct some minor informalities, and the undersigned (on behalf of applicant) approved these changes, considered by applicant to be "cosmetic", and not imposing any new limitations on the claims.

Applicant notes for the record that, as the interview was originally initiated by the examiner, the form used should have been a form other than PTOL-413, namely the

Appln. No. 10/521,550
Amendment dated March 24, 2009
Reply to Office Action dated March 19, 2009

form which does not require the applicant to file a Statement of the Substance of the Interview.

Respectfully submitted,

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.
Attorneys for Applicant

By


Sheridan Neimark

Registration No. 20,520

SN:jnj

Telephone No.: (202) 628-5197

Faxsimile No.: (202) 737-3528

G:\bn\m\mout\bouргine1\pto\2009-03-25ReplyInterviewSummary.doc